

### NUMERICAL IDENTIFICATION OF QUANTUM SPIN LIQUIDS

Alexander Wietek University of Innsbruck TopMat Workshop, IPhT Paris-Saclay 27/6/2018







Andreas M. Läuchli



#### Antoine Sterdyniak

### **PHASES OF MATTER**

#### High symmetry









#### Lower symmetry





#### "Higher" symmetry







### NOVEL STATES OF MATTER IN FRUSTRATED MAGNETISM

• Study of low-dimensional quantum spin models

$$H = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j,$$

 Complex behavior if local energy constraints cannot be minimized simultaneously



### NOVEL STATES OF MATTER IN FRUSTRATED MAGNETISM

• Various experimental systems



### NOVEL STATES OF MATTER IN FRUSTRATED MAGNETISM

- Ordering is suppressed by low dimension, quantum fluctuations and frustration
- Exotic non-trivial paramagnetic phases can emerge
   Quantum Spin Liquids
- Confinement / deconfinement of emergent quasiparticles
- e.g. Z<sub>2</sub> spin liquids, Dirac spin liquids
- Chiral spin liquids spin version of fractional quantum Hall effect



### **QUANTUM HALL EFFECTS**

 At low temperatures and high magnetic fields several plateaux appear in the Hall resistivity

$$R_H \equiv \frac{V_y}{I_x} \qquad R_H = \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{h}{e^2}$$

- $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$  -> integer QHE •  $\nu = \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{5} \dots$  -> fractional QHE
- Pure quantum mechanical effect

[Klitzing, Dorda, Pepper, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 494 (1980)] [Tsui, Stormer, Gossard. Phys. Rev. Lett. 48.22 (1982)]





### FRACTIONAL QUANTUM HALL EFFECT

- Strongly interacting electrons in 2D limit at low temperatures, high magnetic fields and pure samples
- ground state at filling fractions  $\nu = 1/(2p + 1)$  described by Laughlin wave function



- translate fractional QHE physics for spins
- mapping continuum wave function for bosonic  $\nu = 1/2$  Laughlin state to hard-core bosons (i.e. spins) on a lattice



$$\Psi_{2,N}(z_1,\ldots,z_N) = \prod_{j< k} (z_j - z_k)^2 \prod_k e^{-\frac{1}{4l_0^2}|z_k|^2}$$

• Spin singlet state, time-reversal and reflection symmetry broken

$$\mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$$





Consider a generic spin Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j,$$

Introduce fermionic parton operators

$$\mathbf{S}_{i} = \frac{1}{2} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta}$$

• Equivalent fermionic model with local gauge symmetry  $c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \rightarrow e^{i\theta_i} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger}$ 

$$H_{\text{parton}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} J_{ij} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} c_{j\beta}^{\dagger} c_{i\beta}$$

if subject to single-particle per site constraint:  $c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger}c_{i\uparrow} + c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger}c_{i\downarrow} = 1$ 

Introduce mean-field decoupling

$$\chi_{ij} \equiv c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} \to \chi_{ij} = \langle c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} \rangle$$

[X.G. Wen, F. Wilczek, A. Zee, Phys. Rev. B 39 (1989)]

Mean-field parton Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{mean}} = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} (\chi_{ij} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_{i} \mu_{i} (n_{i} - 1)$$

• Choose ansatz  $\chi_{ij} = \overline{\chi}_{ij} e^{ia_{ij}}$  such that band structure has Chern bands



 Taking continuum limit and integrating out partons gives effective action for gauge fields: Chern-Simons field theory

$$S = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{xy} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\lambda \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(1/g^2), \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2$$

[X.G. Wen, F. Wilczek, A. Zee, Phys. Rev. B 39 (1989)]

• Chern-Simons field theory

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{xy} a_\mu \partial_\nu a_\lambda \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(1/g^2), \quad \mu = 0, 1,$$

- Non-zero (spin) Hall conductivity
- gapless chiral edge modes
- semionic statistics (exchange phase  $\pi/2$ )
- twofold degenerate ground state with periodic boundary
- time-reversal and reflection symmetry broken
- Proposed as ground state of triangular lattice Heisenberg antiferromagnet, that is actually 120° ordered









# NUMERICAL IDENTIFICATION OF CHIRAL SPIN LIQUIDS

### THE QUEST FOR THE CHIRAL SPIN LIQUID

- The Chiral Spin Liquid was proposed end of the 80s
- Is there any model realizing this phase?
- Analytically solvable models proposed by

[D. F. Schroeter, E. Kapit, R. Thomale, M. Greiter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 097202 (2007)]
 [A. Nielsen, J. Cirac, and G. Sierra, Nature Commun. 4,2864 (2013)]

- Long-range, many spin interactions, barely experimentally relevant
- Hints for emergence in simpler models given by

[Laura Messio, Bernard Bernu, and Claire Lhuillier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 207204 (2012)]

### NUMERICAL DISCOVERY OF EMERGENT CSL

- DMRG and Exact Diagonalization studies showed emergence of several CSL phases in various models
- Kagome lattice systems

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_3 \sum_{\langle \langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$$

[S. Gong, W. Zhu, D. N. Sheng, Nature *Sci. Rep.* 4, 6317 (2014)]
[Yin-Chen He, D. N. Sheng, and Yan Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, (2014)]
[A. Wietek, A. Sterdyniak, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. B 92, 125122 (2015)]

$$H = J_{\chi} \sum_{(i,j,k) \in \triangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$$

[B. Bauer et al., Nature Comm. 5, 5137 (2014)]

[A. Wietek, A. Sterdyniak, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. B 92, 125122 (2015)]





[A. Wietek, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. B 95, 035141 (2017)]



 $\mathcal{H} = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_\chi \sum_{i,j,k \in \Delta} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$ 

[A. Wietek, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. B 95, 035141 (2017)]



Spin structure factor  $\mathcal{S}(q) = |\sum_{j} e^{iq(\mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{r}_{0})} \langle \mathbf{S}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{0} \rangle|^{2}$  $k_y$  $k_x$ q = K: q = M:

[A. Wietek, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. B 95, 035141 (2017)]



Energy of model CSL  $\epsilon_{\rm CSL} = (E_{\rm CSL} - E_0)/E_0$ 

- variational energy of model CSL wave function
- Gutzwiller projected w.f. similar to Laughlin w.f.
- low energy in the spin disordered region

### **GUTZWILLER PROJECTED CHIRAL SPIN LIQUIDS**

• Introduce fermionic parton operators

$$\mathbf{S}_{i} = \frac{1}{2} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} c_{i\beta}$$

• Mean-field ansatz for Parton operators  $\chi_{ij} \equiv c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} \rightarrow \chi_{ij} = \langle c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} \rangle$ 

$$H_{\text{mean}} = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} (\chi_{ij} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\alpha} + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_{i} \mu_{i} (n_{i} - 1)$$

• Choose ansatz to form bands with Chern numbers +/- 1





### **GUTZWILLER PROJECTED CSL**

- Filled lower Chern band is mean-field ground state
- Perform Gutzwiller projection to obtain spin wave function

 $\begin{array}{ccc} |\!\downarrow,\downarrow\uparrow\!\!,\emptyset,\uparrow\rangle & \mathcal{P} & 0 \\ |\!\downarrow,\uparrow,\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle & \Rightarrow & |\!\downarrow,\uparrow,\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle \end{array}$ 

- Different flux choices through torus generate different states
- Only two-dimensional space spanned by arbitrary flux choices, spanned by





[A. Wietek, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. B 95, 035141 (2017)]



 $\mathcal{H} = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_\chi \sum_{i,j,k \in \Delta} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$ 

- many-body energy spectrum computed with Exact
   Diagonalization on 36 sites
   with periodic boundaries
- claim:
   two low lying singlet states
   constitute the two degenerate
   CSL wave functions on the
   torus
- numerical evidence:
   compare to two model CSL wave functions



two singlet states



- construct the pair of CSL wave functions on torus from Gutzwiller projection
  - $|\psi_{\text{CSL-I}}\rangle$   $|\psi_{\text{CSL-II}}\rangle$
- linearly independent with comparable low variational energy
- compute overlap with exact numerical eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{O}_{\rm GW-ED} \equiv \left| \left\langle \psi_{\rm ED}^0 | \psi_{\rm CSL} \right\rangle \right|^2 + \left| \left\langle \psi_{\rm ED}^1 | \psi_{\rm CSL} \right\rangle \right|^2$$





### • overlaps of up to 0.92

- dimension of Hilbert space  $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = 2^{36} = 68$  billion
- orthogonality catastrophe:
   overlaps expected to
   converge to zero
   exponentially
- Our findings have also recently
   been confirmed by an independent DMRG study

[Shou-Shu Gong et al., Phys. Rev. B 96.7 (2017)]







[A. Wietek, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. B 95, 035141 (2017)]

[Shou-Shu Gong et al., Phys. Rev. B 96.7 (2017)]



### CHIRAL SPIN LIQUID ON KAGOME LATTICE

• Extended Heisenberg model on kagome lattice

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_3 \sum_{\langle \langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_\chi \sum_{i,j,k \in \Delta, \bigtriangledown} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot (\mathbf{S}_j \times \mathbf{S}_k)$$

[S. Gong, W. Zhu, D. N. Sheng, Nature Sci. Rep. 4, 6317 (2014)]
 [B. Bauer et al., Nature Comm. 5, 5137 (2014)]
 [Yin-Chen He, D. N. Sheng, and Yan Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, (2014)]

• Computation of overlaps: up to 0.95



[A. Wietek, A. Sterdyniak, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. B 92, 125122 (2015)]

### CHIRAL SPIN LIQUID IN SU(N) FERMIONIC MOTT INSULATORS

[P. Nataf, M. Lajkó, A. Wietek, K. Penc, F. Mila, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 167202]



### CHIRAL SPIN LIQUID IN SU(N) FERMIONIC MOTT INSULATORS

[P. Nataf, M. Lajkó, A. Wietek, K. Penc, F. Mila, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 167202]









#### $J_1 \text{-} J_2 \text{ model on the triangular lattice}$

[A. Wietek, A. M. Läuchli, Phys. Rev. B 95, 035141 (2017)]



### $J_1 \text{-} J_2 \text{ model on the triangular lattice}$

- Debate which kind of phase is realized in the intermediate regime
- Z<sub>2</sub> spin liquid [Zhu, White, PRB (2015)]
   [Hu, Gong, Zhu, Sheng PRB (2015)]

[Saadatmand, Powell, McCulloch PRB (2015)]

Dirac spin liquid

[Kaneko, Morita, Imada, JPSJ 83, 093707 (2014) [Iqbal, Hu, Thomale, Poilblanc, Becca PRB 93 144411 (2016)]



- $J_1 \mathchar`- J_2$  model on the triangular lattice
- Constructed Dirac spin liquid wave function



 Computed overlap of various model wave functions with exact eigenstates



# ALGORITHMIC ADVANCES FOR EXACT DIAGONALIZATION

### **EXACT DIAGONALIZATION**

Solving the Schrödinger equation

# $H\left|\psi\right\rangle = E\left|\psi\right\rangle$

by numerically computing exact eigenvalues and eigenstates

- Several new developments of the method
- Sparse-matrix algorithms for finite temperature properties,
- Thermodynamics with quantum typicality

[S. Sugiura and A. Shimizu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 240401 (2012)] [Goldstein et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 050403(2006)]

• Finite temperature dynamics [Yamaji et al., <u>arXiv:1802.02854</u> (2018)]

### **EXACT DIAGONALIZATION**

- Simulations of SU(N) symmetric models
   [P. Nataf and F. Mila Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 127204 (2012)]
- For spin 1/2 systems several interesting simulation clusters exist close to 50 lattice sites



~10<sup>22</sup> GR

 Developed new algorithms and code allowing for simulating 50 spin-1/2 particles

[A. Wietek and A. Läuchli, arXiv:1804.05028]

### LANCZOS ALGORITHM

[C. Lanczos, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. 45.4 (1950)]

- Iterative method to compute extremal eigenstates
- Only matrix-vector multiplications necessary
- Exponentially fast convergence
- Matrix-vector multiplications can be performed without storing the matrix
- 3-4 Lanczos vectors need to be stored in memory



### **IMPLEMENTING SYMMETRIES**

- Usage of space group and local symmetries allow for block diagonalization
- Computations in symmetryadapted basis are challenging
- Developed sublattice coding algorithm for applying symmetries
- Lookup tables for action of symmetries on sublattices
- Allow for fast evaluation of matrix elements in symmetrized basis





## ~104 GB

#### LARGE SCALE PARALLELIZATION

- Parallelization for distributed memory machines
- Splitting up the workload between the processes



~10 GR



- Randomly distributing the basis of the Hilbert space solves load balancing problems
- Hybrid implementation using the MPI standard and POSIX shared memory functions for lookup tables
- Benchmarks with up to several 1000 CPU cores



### **BENCHMARK PROBLEM**

Heisenberg spin 1/2 model

$$H = J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$$

- Dimension of Hilbert space: 3.10<sup>11</sup>
- Benchmark performed on supercomputer Sekirei at University of Tokyo (3456 cores)
- Total memory usage: 15.5 TB
- Time per Matrix-vector multiplication:
   3304 seconds
- Ground state energy: -33.7551019315
- Checked using Quantum Monte Carlo





### SUMMARY

- Discovery of several chiral spin liquid phases in extended Heisenberg models
- Comparing numerical exact states on small lattices with ansatz CSL wave functions
- Findings are now cross-validated by DMRG and ED
- Novel developments for the ED method now allowing to simulate spin systems up to 50 spin 1/2 particles





# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

